


The Ever Jittering Fulcrum

*Why we need a generalization of the
Hamilton extremal principle*

By Dr. David Martin



“The Ever-Jittering Fulcrum” – a brochure, 1st edition, April 2025

Publishing: RASA Energy Inc.

Text Copyright © 2025 Dr. David Martin and Dr. Norbert Schwarzer

Cover Picture: “The Ever-Jittering Fulcrum” (by Livia Schwarzer)

Cover Copyright © 2025 Peggy Heuer-Schwarzer

All rights reserved. This booklet, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information storage and retrieval system now known or to be invented, without written permission from the authors.

CONTENTS

1	ABSTRACT	3
2	INTRODUCTION	3
	2.1 The Classical Hamilton Extremal Principle.....	3
	2.2 The Hamilton Principle on Shaky Grounds	3
3	THEORY	4
	3.1 Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum	4
4	CONCLUSIONS	5
5	APPENDIX (BY N. SCHWARZER)	5
	5.1 The Classical Hamilton Extremal Principle and How to Obtain Einstein’s General Theory of Relativity with Matter (!) and Quantum Theory... Also with Matter (!)	5
	5.2 David’s Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum and the Alternate Hamilton Principle	7
	5.2.1 The Question of Stability	11
6	REFERENCES	11

The Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum

By Dr. David Martin
(with a mathematical appendix from Dr. Norbert Schwarzer)

1 Abstract

By demonstrating that a general extremal principle of truly holistic character automatically contradicts the concept of particles, we gain insight into one fundamental aspect governing this universe, namely, the only thing being certain is uncertainty.

The harder we try to fix something in any way, the more this very something tends to evade the constraints. It is similar to Lenz's law in physics where the current induced by a magnetic field always acts against its source.

Completely generalized, this even leads to an adjustment of the Hamilton extremal principle.

In this paper we are going to introduce and investigate this generalization.

2 Introduction

2.1 The Classical Hamilton Extremal Principle

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hamilton's_principle):

In physics, Hamilton's principle is William Rowan Hamilton's formulation of the principle of stationary action. It states that the dynamics of a physical system are determined by a variational problem for a functional based on a single function, the Lagrangian, which may contain all physical information concerning the system and the forces acting on it. The variational problem is equivalent to and allows for the derivation of the differential equations of motion of the physical system. Although formulated originally for classical mechanics, Hamilton's principle also applies to classical fields such as the electromagnetic and gravitational fields, and plays an important role in quantum mechanics, quantum field theory and criticality theories.

So, the definition of the Hamilton principle is based on its “formulation of the principle of stationary action”. In simpler words, the variation of such an action should be zero or, mathematically formulated, should be put as follows:

$$\delta W = 0 = \delta \int_V d^n x \cdot \sqrt{-g} \cdot L. \quad (1)$$

Here L stands for the Lagrangian, W the action, and g gives the determinant of the metric tensor, which describes the system in question within an arbitrary Riemann space-time with the coordinates x . Thereby, we used the Hilbert formulation of the Hamilton principle [1] in a slightly more general form. We were able to show in [2] that the original Hilbert variation does not only produce the Einstein field equations [3] but also contains Quantum Theory [2, 4, 5]. It should be noted that, while the original Hilbert paper [1] stated with the Ricci scalar R as the integral kernel, which is to say $L=R$, we here used a general Lagrangian, because – as we will show later in this appendix – this generality – in principle – is already contained inside the original Hilbert formulation. Even, as strange as it may sound at this point, general kernels with functions of the Ricci scalar $f(R)$ [6] are already included (see subsection “Why Don't We See $f(R)$ -Lagrangians in this Universe?”) in the Hilbert approach.

2.2 The Hamilton Principle on Shaky Grounds

But what if we'd live in a universe, where the only thing which is certain was uncertainty?

This author always used the analogy of a moving fulcrum to demonstrate his uneasiness with the formulation (1).

In [7] we were able to show that the Hamilton principle itself hinders us to localize any system or object at a certain position. We also see that this contradicts the concept of particles. Everything seems to be permanently on the move or – rather – ever-jittering. The quite surprising insight was rigorously derived in our book [7] in chapter 10. Verbally it could be summed up very briefly as follows:

- a) Try to define a particle and by doing so, you realize that you have to give the thing – no matter how you want to make it look like – a position. After all, it has to be somewhere... the something or whatever “happening” you intend to place in your space-time. Thereby, it does not matter whether one considers the real space-time or a theoretical model.
- b) You realize that this position is just another degree of freedom, which you have to treat as such, because otherwise you are just violating the classical/established physical laws.
- c) So, you take the most general principle established physics has, which is the Hamilton minimum principle in its most general form, the Einstein-Hilbert action (1) and you subject your particle, or whatever else it is you wanted to allocate a location to, to it.
- d) When doing so correctly, which is to say, taking the position of the very particle into account, too, the particle literally dissolves in front of you and becomes just a wave.
- e) Hence: there are no particles in this universe. It’s all just waves!

But if this ever-jittering fulcrum was one of the fundamental properties of our universe, should we then not take this into account when formulating the laws of this very universe? Shouldn’t we better write (1) as follows:

$$\delta W \rightarrow 0 \cong \delta \int_V d^n x \cdot \sqrt{-g} \cdot L ? \quad (2)$$

And while we are at it, should we not start to investigate an even more general principle like:

$$\delta W \rightarrow f(W, x, g_{\alpha\beta}) = \delta \int_V d^n x \cdot \sqrt{-g} \cdot L ? \quad (3)$$

It will be demonstrated in the appendix by my partner and friend Norbert Schwarzer, why such a generalization is justified.

The interesting aspect about this is that this investigation was already – partially – done by (surprise, surprise) e.g., Hilbert and Einstein. But instead of explaining it in this way, they have “hidden” their generalization inside other concepts like the introduction of a cosmological constant or – oh yes – the postulation of matter and its introduction via an ominous and purely postulated parameter L_M , which is to say, a Lagrange matter term.

3 Theory

3.1 Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum

Taking the example of the concept of the particle, which dissolves itself the more one tries to push it into existence, we realize that there is just nothing in this universe which we are able to “place inside a box and make the box to shrink to an infinitesimal size”. The moment we try, we have to give the box a position, hence coordinates, and those are also degrees of freedom, subjectable to the Hamilton principle, being realized in the Hilbert variation process, leading to a fundamental uncertainty of the position of our box.

Thereby, it does not matter what we want to place inside the box. It could be anything and thus, everything, no matter what it is, the moment we intend to localize it, becomes subjectable to the Hamilton process and ends up as wave with an uncertain position.

In other words: A fulcrum can have no perfectly well-defined position in space (figure 1).




Fig. 1: Symbolizing the “Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum” (artist: Livia Schwarzer)

Applied on the Hamilton principle itself (see appendix), we end up with the necessity to also include such an uncertainty into this very principle. This can be done in a variety of ways and it is most interesting that one of the outcomes is just matter. Here it can almost be seen as an irony that even Einstein and Hilbert saw this when deriving their theoretical apparatus, but instead of thinking about it fundamentally, both resorted to the solution of just postulating what they rightfully discovered as missing. This way, the matter was introduced as the momentum-energy tensor in Einstein’s General Theory of Relativity [3] and as matter Lagrange density in Hilbert’s variational approach [1].

4 Conclusions

“If there is one thing certain in this universe, it is uncertainty!”

Taking this recognition to heart leads to a generalized Hamilton principle, an answer to the problem of the three generations of particles and its generalization to all systems, a Quantum Gravity Theory, a destruction of the concept of particles, an understanding for the occurrence of matter, a theory of the relativity of perspectivity, an approach for the modelling of socioeconomic space-times, an explanation for the phenomenon of coherent domains, and structured water...

... and so much more!

5 Appendix (by N. Schwarzer)

5.1 The Classical Hamilton Extremal Principle and How to Obtain Einstein’s General Theory of Relativity with Matter (!) and Quantum Theory... Also with Matter (!)

The famous German mathematician David Hilbert [1], even though applying his technique only to derive the Einstein field equations for the General Theory of Relativity [3] in four dimensions, – in principle – extended the classical Hamilton principle to an arbitrary Riemann space-time with a very general variation by not only – as Hamilton and others had done – concentrating on the evolution of

the given problem or system in time, but with respect to all its dimensions. His formulation of the Hamilton extremal principle looked as follows:

$$\delta W = 0 = \delta \int_V d^n x \left(\sqrt{-g} \cdot (R - 2\Lambda + L_M) \right). \quad (4)$$

There we have the Ricci scalar of curvature R , the cosmological constant Λ , the Lagrange density of matter L_M , and the determinant g of the metric tensor of the Riemann space-time $g_{\alpha\beta}$. For historical reasons, it should be mentioned that Hilbert's original work [1] did not contain the cosmological constant, because it was added later by Einstein in order to obtain a static universe, but this is not of any importance here. The evaluation of the so-called Einstein-Hilbert action (4) brought indeed the Einstein General Theory of Relativity [3], but it did not produce the other great theory physicists have found, which is the Quantum Theory. It was not before Schwarzer, about one hundred years after the publication of Hilbert's paper [1], extended Hilbert's approach by considering scaling factors to the metric tensor and showed that Quantum Theory already resides inside the sufficiently general General Theory of Relativity [2, 4, 7, 8, 9]. We will not discuss the reason why this simple idea has not been tried out by other scientists before, but we may still express our amazement about the fact that a simple extension of the type:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot F[f] \quad (5)$$

solves one of the greatest problems in science¹, namely the unification of physics and that it took science more than 100 years to come up with the idea. Using the symbol G for the determinant of the scaled metric tensor $G_{\alpha\beta}$ from (5) of the Riemann space-time, we can rewrite the Einstein-Hilbert action from (4) as follows:

$$\delta W = 0 = \delta \int_V d^n x \left(\sqrt{-G} \cdot F^q \cdot (R^* - 2\Lambda + L_M) \right). \quad (6)$$

This still converges to the classical form for $F \rightarrow 1$. Here, which is to say in this paper, we will only consider examples with $q=0$, but for completeness and later investigation we shall mention that a comprehensive consideration of variational integrals for the cases of general q are to be found in [4]. Performing the variation in (6) with respect to the metric $G_{\alpha\beta}$ and remembering that the Ricci curvature of (e.g. [7] appendix D) changes the whole variation to:

$$\delta W = \delta \int_V d^n x \left(\sqrt{-G} \cdot F^q \cdot \left(\left(\frac{R}{F} - \frac{1}{2F^2} \left((n-1) \left(\overbrace{2g^{ab}F_{,ab} + F_{,d}g^{cd}g^{ab}g_{ab,c}}^{=2\Delta F - 2F_{,d}g^{cd}g^{ab}g_{ab,c}} \right) - nF_{,d}g^{cd}g^{ab}g_{ac,b} \right) - (n-1) \frac{g^{ab}F_{,a} \cdot F_{,b}}{4F^3} (n-6) \right) - 2\Lambda + L_M \right) \right), \quad (7)$$

results in:

¹ This does not mean, of course, that we should not also look out for generalizations of the scaled metric and investigate those as we did in [10].

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(R^*_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} R^* \cdot G_{\alpha\beta} \right) \overbrace{\left(\frac{1}{F} \cdot \delta g^{\alpha\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta} \cdot \delta \left(\frac{1}{F} \right) \right)}^{\delta G^{\alpha\beta}} \\
&= \left(\left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2F} \left(F_{,\alpha\beta} (n-2) + F_{,ab} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{ab} + F_{,a} g^{ab} (g_{\beta b, \alpha} - g_{\beta \alpha, b}) - \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. F_{,\alpha} g^{ab} g_{\beta b, a} - F_{,\beta} g^{ab} g_{\alpha b, a} + F_{,d} g^{cd} \left(g_{\alpha c, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} n g_{\alpha c, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} n g_{\beta c, \alpha} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} n g_{\alpha \beta, c} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} g_{ab, c} g^{ab} \right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4F^2} (F_{,\alpha} \cdot F_{,\beta} (3n-6) + g_{\alpha\beta} F_{,c} F_{,d} g^{cd} (4-n)) \right) \delta G^{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{(n-1)}{2F} \left(\overbrace{\left(2g^{ab} F_{,ab} + F_{,d} g^{cd} g^{ab} g_{ab, c} \right)}^{=2\Delta F - 2F_{,d} g^{cd}{}_{,c}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n}{(n-1)} F_{,d} g^{cd} g^{ab} g_{ac, b} \right) + \frac{g^{ab} F_{,a} \cdot F_{,b}}{4F^2} (n-6) - \frac{R}{(n-1)} \cdot \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

when setting $q=0$ and assuming a vanishing cosmological constant. With a cosmological constant we have to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left(\boxed{\boxed{R_{\alpha\beta} - R \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2}}} + \boxed{\Lambda \cdot g_{\alpha\beta}} \right. \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2F} \left(F_{,\alpha\beta} (n-2) + F_{,ab} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{ab} + F_{,a} g^{ab} (g_{\beta b, \alpha} - g_{\beta \alpha, b}) - \right. \\
&\quad \left. F_{,\alpha} g^{ab} g_{\beta b, a} - F_{,\beta} g^{ab} g_{\alpha b, a} + F_{,d} g^{cd} \left(g_{\alpha c, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} n g_{\alpha c, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} n g_{\beta c, \alpha} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} n g_{\alpha \beta, c} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} g_{ab, c} g^{ab} \right) \right) \delta G^{\alpha\beta} . \tag{9} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4F^2} (F_{,\alpha} \cdot F_{,\beta} (3n-6) + g_{\alpha\beta} F_{,c} F_{,d} g^{cd} (4-n)) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{(n-1)}{2F} \left(\frac{2\Delta F - 2F_{,d} g^{cd}{}_{,c}}{(n-1)} \right) + \frac{g^{ab} F_{,a} \cdot F_{,b}}{4F^2} (n-6) \right) \cdot \frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

For better recognition of the classical terms, we have reordered a bit and boxed the classical vacuum part of the Einstein field equations (double lines) and the cosmological constant term (single line). Everything else can be – no, represents (!) – matter or quantum effects or both.

Thus, we also – quite boldly – have set the matter density L_M equal to zero, because we see that already our simple metric scaling brings in quite some options for the construction of matter. It will be shown elsewhere [10] that there is much more which is based on the same technique.

5.2 David's Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum and the Alternate Hamilton Principle

We might bring forward three reasons why we could doubt the fundamentality of the Hamilton principle even in its most general form of the generalized Einstein-Hilbert action:

- a) The principle was postulated and never fundamentally derived.
- b) When rigidly demanding the extremal condition, the extremum should become an object being dependent on all coordinates. Some kind of position appears, which defines or rather “makes out” the extremum. Treating these position parameters as new attributes, the variation should be refined with respect to those and thus, the whole task increases its dimensionality. No matter how often one repeats the process, there is always an uncertainty about the final number of dimensions which in principle increases towards infinity. This entangles with another of David Martin’s principle, namely the one of the “infinite orthogonality”, which we will investigate in another paper [12]. Hence, the process is never truly complete and the result can never be a 100% – stable – extremum.
- c) Even the formulation of this principle in its classical form (4) results in a variety of options where factors, constants, kernel adaptations, etc. could be added, so that the rigid setting of the integral to zero offers some doubt in itself. A calculation process which offers a variety of additions and options should not contain such a dogma. The result should be kept open and general. Dr. David Martin proposed this as the “tragedy of the jittering fulcrum” and we therefore named this principle “David’s principle of the ever-jittering fulcrum”. It demands:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} W &\approx ? \approx \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R \\ \delta_{G_{\alpha\beta}} W &\approx ? \approx \delta_{G_{\alpha\beta}} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-G} \times R^*.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$




Fig. A1: David’s principle of the ever-jittering fulcrum cannot accept a dogmatic insistence on a zero outcome of the Einstein-Hilbert action (4) or (generalized and also bringing about Quantum Theory) (6). Instead, it should allow for all states and not just the extremal position (see the two red dots and the corresponding tangent planes in the picture).

One of the simplest generalizations of the classical principle could be the linear one, which is illustrated in figure A1. It could be constructed as follows:

$$\int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \cancel{\chi}^{\alpha\beta} \cdot g_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} W = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R.\tag{11}$$

Thereby we have used the classical form with the unscaled metric tensor, respectively without setting the factor apart from the rest of the metric. Performing of the variation on the right-hand side and setting

$$\mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta} = H \cdot \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \quad (12)$$

or – for the reason of maximum generality – even:

$$\mathcal{K}^{\alpha\beta} = H_{ab}^{\alpha\beta} \cdot \delta \gamma^{ab} = H \cdot \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \quad (13)$$

just gives us the same result as we would obtain it when assuming a non-zero cosmologic constant, because evaluation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times H \cdot \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \cdot g_{\alpha\beta} &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} - H g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times H_{ab}^{\alpha\beta} \cdot \delta \gamma^{ab} \cdot g_{\alpha\beta} &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} - H g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Simply setting $H = -\Lambda$ (c.f. single-line boxed term in equation (9)) demonstrates this.

Nothing else is the usage of a general functional term T , being considered a function of the coordinates of the system (perhaps even the metric tensor) in a general manner, as follows:

$$\int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times T = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} W = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R. \quad (16)$$

As before, performing of the variation on the right-hand side and setting

$$T = T_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \quad (17)$$

gives us something which was classically postulated under the variational integral, namely the classical energy matter tensor. This time, however, it simply pops up as a result of David's principle of the jittering fulcrum and is equivalent to the introduction of the term L_M under the variational integral. Evaluation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \cdot T_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \delta g^{\alpha\beta} &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times \left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} - T_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta g^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

So, we see, that by introducing a cosmological constant and by postulating a matter term, even Einstein and Hilbert already – in principle – “experimented” with a non-extremal setting for the Hamilton extremal principle.

Apart from linear dependencies and other functions or functional terms, we could just assume a general outcome like:

$$f(W) = f\left(\int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R\right) = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} W = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R. \quad (19)$$

This, however, would not give us any substantial hint where to move on, respectively, which of the many possible paths to follow. We therefore here start our investigation with the assumption of an eigen result for the variation as follows:

$$\chi \cdot W = \chi \cdot \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} W = \delta_{g_{\alpha\beta}} \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \times R. \quad (20)$$

This leads to:

$$\int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left(R_{\kappa\lambda} \delta g^{\kappa\lambda} - R \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot g_{\kappa\lambda} \delta g^{\kappa\lambda} + \chi \right) \right) = 0. \quad (21)$$

As the term χ could always be expanded into an expression like:

$$\chi = H \cdot g_{\kappa\lambda} \delta g^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad (22)$$

we obtain from (21):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left(R_{\kappa\lambda} \delta g^{\kappa\lambda} - R \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + H \right) g_{\kappa\lambda} \delta g^{\kappa\lambda} \right) \\ &= \int_V d^n x \sqrt{-g} \left(R_{\kappa\lambda} - R \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + H \right) g_{\kappa\lambda} \right) \delta g^{\kappa\lambda} \\ &\Rightarrow R_{\kappa\lambda} - R \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + H \right) g_{\kappa\lambda} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We realize that the term H can be a general scalar even if we would demand the term χ to be a constant.

The complete equation when assuming a scaled metric tensor of the form (5) would read:

$$\left(\left(R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2F} \left(F_{,\alpha\beta} (n-2) + F_{,ab} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{ab} + F_{,a} g^{ab} (g_{\beta b, \alpha} - g_{\beta \alpha, b}) - F_{,\alpha} g^{ab} g_{\beta b, a} - F_{,\beta} g^{ab} g_{\alpha b, a} + F_{,d} g^{cd} \left(g_{\alpha c, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} n g_{\alpha c, \beta} - \frac{1}{2} n g_{\beta c, \alpha} + \frac{1}{2} n g_{\alpha \beta, c} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} g_{ab, c} g^{ab} \right) + \frac{1}{4F^2} (F_{,\alpha} \cdot F_{,\beta} (3n-6) + g_{\alpha\beta} F_{,c} F_{,d} g^{cd} (4-n)) \right) \right) - \left(R - \frac{1}{2F} \left((n-1) \left(\overbrace{2g^{ab} F_{,ab} + F_{,d} g^{cd} g^{ab} g_{ab, c}}^{=2\Delta F - 2F_{,d} g^{cd}_{,c}} \right) - n F_{,d} g^{cd} g^{ab} g_{ac, b} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + H \right) g_{\alpha\beta} - (n-1) \frac{g^{ab} F_{,a} \cdot F_{,b}}{4F^2} (n-6) \right) \right) = 0 \quad (24)$$

and in the case of metrics with constant components this equation simplifies to:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2F} (F_{,\alpha\beta} (n-2) + F_{,ab} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{ab}) \\ + \frac{1}{4F^2} (F_{,\alpha} \cdot F_{,\beta} (3n-6) + g_{\alpha\beta} F_{,c} F_{,d} g^{cd} (4-n)) \end{array} \right) \\ - \left(R - \frac{(n-1)}{2F} \left(2g^{ab} F_{,ab} + \frac{g^{ab} F_{,a} \cdot F_{,b}}{2F} (n-6) \right) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + H \right) g_{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right) = 0. \quad (25)$$

5.2.1 The Question of Stability

From purely mechanical considerations, one might assume that extremal solutions of the variational equation (10) correspond to more stable states than non-extremal solutions and in fact, we will find this in connection with the 3-generation problem, which we have derived and discussed elsewhere [11].

6 References

- [1] D. Hilbert, “Die Grundlagen der Physik, Teil 1”, Göttinger Nachrichten, 1915, pp. 395–407
- [2] N. Schwarzer, “The World Formula: A Late Recognition of David Hilbert’s Stroke of Genius”, Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2020, ISBN: 9789814877206
- [3] A. Einstein, “Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie”, Annalen der Physik (ser. 4), 49, pp. 769–822
- [4] N. Schwarzer, “The Math of Body, Soul, and the Universe”, Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2022, ISBN: 9789814968249
- [5] N. Schwarzer, “The Theory of Everything – Quantum and Relativity is everywhere – A Fermat Universe”, Pan Stanford Publishing, 2020, ISBN: 9814774472
- [6] C. A. Sporea, “Notes on f(R) Theories of Gravity”, 2014, arxiv.org/pdf/1403.3852.pdf
- [7] W. Wismann, D. Martin, N. Schwarzer, “Creation, Separation, and the Mind – the Three Towers of Singularity: The Application of Universal Code in Reality”, 2024, RASA strategy book, ISBN: 9798218444839
- [8] N. Schwarzer, “The Quantum Gravity War – How will the Nearby Unification of Physics Change Future Warfare?”, Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2024, ISBN: 9789814968584
- [9] N. Schwarzer, “Mathematical Psychology – The World of Thoughts as a Quantum Space-Time with a Gravitational Core”, Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2024, ISBN: 9789815129274
- [10] N. Schwarzer, “Fluid Universe – The Way of Structured Water: Mathematical Foundation”, a RASA®-institute mathematical foundations book, 2025
- [11] N. Schwarzer, “The Principle of the Ever-Jittering Fulcrum and the 3-Generations Problem of Elementary Particles”, a RASA® science paper, RASA®-institute, 2025
- [12] D. Martin, “Infinite Orthogonality – Why you cannot read this text twice in the same way”, a RASA®-institute publication, 2025, to be published

PROMISES KEPT

A promise means nothing...until it's kept. One hundred years ago, the promise of riches from these sands was made. For a century, that promise remained unfulfilled. Thousands tried. Thousands failed. Today, we've kept that century-old promise.

What was once deemed impossible is now reality. What we accomplished will change the energy landscape forever. It takes a pioneer's spirit to dream... It takes a pioneer's resolve to realize those dreams. When we say we'll do something, we move heaven and earth to make it happen, because at RASA, we understand the weight of a promise.

In Texas, for some, the promise of oil has dried up. Non-producing wells became a liability, a cost. Today, where once there were dry wells, we now see cash flow. Our technology extracts hydrocarbons from any source, any time, any circumstance. So, when we promise to deliver, we deliver.

Today, we're making a new promise. One that will once again defy what others think possible and this time, we're extending our hand to partners who understand the value of a promise kept. The opportunity is now. The window is brief. Join us in making history once again. Be part of a legacy where promises aren't just made...they're kept.

JOIN US, AND TOGETHER WE'LL REJUVENATE THE WORLD.



Dr. David Martin

Dr. David Martin's work in dynamic, inferential atomic isotopic (primarily gamma) emitters for diagnostic and surveillance applications combined with his pioneering work in magnetic resonance dynamics led to industry changing applications in radiology, interventional medicine, and intelligence gathering. This work led to technology transfer collaborations in Russia in the early 1990s. Dr. Martin's mathematical innovation on describing hyperpolarization of matter served as the first theoretical framework for redefining our understanding of molecular synthesis.